COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

JOINT ADMIN MOTION TO CLOSE THE COURTROOM CASE NO.3:23-CV-02431-VC

234

1

5

6

7 8

9

11

12

13 14

1516

17

18 19

20

22 23

21

24

25

2627

28

this Joint Administrative Motion to close the Courtroom during the October 21, 2025 hearing regarding the Parties Joint Statement of Discovery Dispute re: RFP Nos. 6 and 20 ("Joint Discovery Dispute"). Plaintiffs join in making this request for relief.¹

Pursuant to the Local Rule 7-11, Defendant Google LLC ("Google") respectfully submits

Courts frequently deny public access to judicial proceedings when disclosure of confidential commercial information could "harm a litigant's competitive standing." *See Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); *see also Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F. 3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006); *New York v. Microsoft Corp.*, 2002 WL 1315804 (D.D.C. 2002); *Standard & Poor's Corp., Inc. v. Commodity Exchange, Inc.*, 541 F. Supp. 1273, 1277 (S.D.N.Y. 1982) ("the right to attend judicial proceedings should, in appropriate circumstances, give way to the right to protect one's trade secrets"). The Court should do the same here.

The 23 identifiers (in re RFP no. 6) and 25 sources (in re RFP no. 20) identified by Plaintiffs that will be the subject of this hearing (*see* Dkt. 223) were filed under seal pursuant to the Joint Stipulation and Order for Omnibus Motion to Seal for the reasons stated in the Joint Stipulation. Dkt. 221.

The Parties anticipate that these highly confidential terms and internal system names filed under seal will be discussed at the October 21, 2025 hearing. Those materials contain non-public, highly sensitive and confidential business information, disclosure of which could affect Google's competitive standing and may expose Google to increased security risk.

Moreover, the issues to be discussed at the hearing pertain only to discovery and are not necessary to the public's understanding of the underlying dispute in this litigation. The Parties therefore respectfully request that the Court grant this joint Motion to close the Courtroom during the October 21, 2025 hearing.

COOLEY LLF

¹ Plaintiffs join the motion because it will be nearly impossible to discuss the key issues on the underlying motion to compel without also mentioning things that Google seeks to keep under seal. Plaintiffs do not challenge any of those items at this time but reserve the right to challenge sealing of Google's identity linking systems at future points in the case.

I	Case 3:23-cv-02431-VC	Document 226	Filed 10/13/25	Page 3 of 4
1	Dated: October 13, 2025		COOLEY LLP	
2				
3			By: <u>/s/ Eduardo E.</u> Ben Hur	Santacana
4			Simona Agnol Eduardo Santa	acana
5			Joshua Anders Tiffany Lin Harris Mateen	son
6				
7			Attorneys for 3 GOOGLE LLC	Defendant C
8				
9	Dated: October 13, 2025		SIMMONS HANI	LY CONROY, LLC
10				
11			By: /s/ Jason Barn	es
12			Jason 'Jay' Ba Eric Johnson	arnes
13			An Truong	
14			Attorneys for JOHN DOE et	Plaintiffs t al.
15 16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
P w			Join 3	T ADMIN MOTION TO CLOSE THE COURTROOM

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

1 **ATTESTATION** 2 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing. 3 4 Dated: October 13, 2025 COOLEY LLP 5 6 By: /s/ Eduardo E. Santacana 7 Ben Hur Simona Agnolucci Eduardo Santacana 8 Joshua Anderson 9 Tiffany Lin Harris Mateen 10 Attorneys for Defendant 11 GOOGLE LLC 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO